Black brane solutions governed by fluxbrane polynomials

V. D. Ivashchuk[1]

Center for Gravitation and Fundamental Metrology, VNIIMS, 46 Ozyornaya ul., Moscow 119361, Russia
Institute of Gravitation and Cosmology, Peoples' Friendship University of Russia, 6 Miklukho-Maklaya ul., Moscow 117198, Russia

arXiv:1401.0215v2 [hep-th] 10 Sep 2014

Abstract

A family of composite black brane solutions in the model with scalar fields and fields of forms is presented. The metric of any solution is defined on a manifold which contains a product of several Ricci-flat "internal" spaces. The solutions are governed by moduli functions H_s ($s = 1, ..., m$) obeying non-linear differential equations with certain boundary conditions imposed. These master equations are equivalent to Toda-like equations and depend upon the non-degenerate ($m \times m$) matrix A. It was conjectured earlier that the functions H_s should be polynomials if A is a Cartan matrix for some semisimple finite-dimensional Lie algebra (of rank m). It is shown that the solutions to master equations may be found by using so-called fluxbrane polynomials which can be calculated (in principle) for any semisimple finite-dimensional Lie algebra. Examples of dilatonic charged black hole (0-brane) solutions related to Lie algebras A_1, A_2, C_2 and G_2 are considered.

1 Introduction

In this paper we deal with spherically-symmetric solutions with horizon defined on product manifolds containing several Ricci-flat factor-spaces (with diverse signatures and dimensions). Solutions of such type appear either in models with antisymmetric forms and scalar fields [1]-[11] or in models with multi-component anisotropic fluid (MCAF) [12]-[16]. For black brane solutions with 1-dimensional factor-spaces (of Euclidean signatures) see [17, 18, 19] and references therein.

These and more general brane cosmological and spherically symmetric solutions were obtained by reduction of the field equations to the Lagrange equations corresponding to Toda-like systems [2, 20].

Here we consider black brane solutions in the model with scalar field and fields of forms, when certain relations on parameters are imposed. The solutions are governed by a set of functions H_s, $s = 1, ..., m$, obeying non-linear differential equations with certain boundary conditions imposed. These equations depend upon the non-degenerate $m \times m$ matrix A. It was conjectured in [6] that the moduli functions H_s should be polynomials when A is a Cartan matrix for some semisimple finite-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ of rank m. In this case we deal with special solutions to open Toda chain equations related to the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ [21, 22, 23, 24] which are integrable in quadratures. The conjecture from [6] was verified for the Lie algebras A_m, C_{m+1}, $m \geq 1$ in [7, 8] by using the solutions to Toda chain equations corresponding to the Lie algebras A_m from [25].

Here we show that the black brane solutions under consideration may be also found by using so-called fluxbrane polynomials [26], which may be calculated (in principle) for any (semi)simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra using MATHEMATICA [27] or MAPLE [28, 29]. For any solution we find the Hawking temperature as a function of p_i-parameters of fluxbrane polynomials. Recently, in [30] a similar approach appeared in a context of special Toda charged black hole solutions corresponding to Lie algebras A_m, where a formal relation

[1]e-mail: ivashchuk@mail.ru

for A_m fluxbrane polynomials was obtained in a way similar to our earlier consideration [7, 8] based on the Anderson solution [25]. Here we illustrate the general approach by applying it to dilatonic charged black hole solutions related to Lie algebras A_1, A_2, C_2 and G_2.

2 Black brane solutions

We start with a model governed by the action

$$S = \int d^D x \sqrt{|g|}\{R[g] - h_{\alpha\beta} g^{MN} \partial_M \varphi^\alpha \partial_N \varphi^\beta - \sum_{a\in\triangle} \frac{\theta_a}{n_a!} \exp[2\lambda_a(\varphi)](F^a)^2\}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $g = g_{MN}(x) dx^M \otimes dx^N$ is a metric, $\varphi = (\varphi^\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^l$ is a vector of scalar fields, $(h_{\alpha\beta})$ is a constant symmetric non-degenerate $l \times l$ matrix ($l \in \mathbb{N}$), $\theta_a = \pm 1$,

$$F^a = dA^a = \frac{1}{n_a!} F^a_{M_1 \dots M_{n_a}} dz^{M_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dz^{M_{n_a}} \tag{2.2}$$

is a n_a-form ($n_a \geq 1$), λ_a is a 1-form on $\mathbb{R}^l$: $\lambda_a(\varphi) = \lambda_{a\alpha}\varphi^\alpha$, $a \in \triangle$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, l$. In (2.1) we denote $|g| = |\det(g_{MN})|$, $(F^a)^2_g = F^a_{M_1 \dots M_{n_a}} F^a_{N_1 \dots N_{n_a}} g^{M_1 N_1} \dots g^{M_{n_a} N_{n_a}}$, $a \in \triangle$. Here $\triangle$ is some finite set. In the models with one time all $\theta_a = 1$ when the signature of the metric is $(-1, +1, \dots, +1)$.

In [6, 7, 8] we have obtained a family of black brane solutions to the field equations corresponding to the action (2.1). These solutions are defined on the manifold

$$M = (R_0, +\infty) \times (M_0 = S^{d_0}) \times (M_1 = \mathbb{R}) \times \dots \times M_n, \tag{2.3}$$

and have the following form

$$g = \Big(\prod_{s\in S} H_s^{2h_s d(I_s)/(D-2)}\Big)\Big\{ f^{-1} dR \otimes dR + R^2 g^0 \tag{2.4}$$

$$- \Big(\prod_{s\in S} H_s^{-2h_s}\Big) f dt \otimes dt + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \Big(\prod_{s\in S} H_s^{-2h_s \delta_{iI_s}}\Big) g^i \Big\},$$

$$\exp(\varphi^\alpha) = \prod_{s\in S} H_s^{h_s \chi_s \lambda^\alpha_{a_s}}, \tag{2.5}$$

$$F^a = \sum_{s\in S} \delta^a_{a_s} \mathcal{F}^s, \tag{2.6}$$

where $f = 1 - 2\mu/R^d$,

$$\mathcal{F}^s = -\frac{Q_s}{R^{d_0}} \left(\prod_{s'\in S} H_{s'}^{-A_{ss'}} \right) dR \wedge \tau(I_s), \quad s \in S_e, \tag{2.7}$$

$$\mathcal{F}^s = Q_s \tau(\bar{I}_s), \quad s \in S_m. \tag{2.8}$$

Here $Q_s \neq 0$, $s \in S$, are charge densities, $R > R_0$, $R_0 = (2\mu)^{1/d} > 0$ and $d = d_0 - 1$.

In (2.4) g^0 is the canonical metric on the unit sphere $M_0 = S^{d_0}$ and g^i is a Ricci-flat metric on M_i, $i = 2, \ldots, n$ and $\delta_{iI} = \sum_{j\in I} \delta_{ij}$ is the indicator of i belonging to I: $\delta_{iI} = 1$ for $i \in I$ and $\delta_{iI} = 0$ otherwise. We also denote $g^1 = -dt \otimes dt$.

The brane set S is by definition

$$S = S_e \cup S_m, \quad S_v = \cup_{a\in\triangle}\{a\} \times \{v\} \times \Omega_{a,v}, \tag{2.9}$$

$v = e, m$ and $\Omega_{a,e}, \Omega_{a,m} \subset \Omega$, where $\Omega = \Omega(n)$ is the set of all non-empty subsets of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, i.e. all branes do not "live" in M_0.

Any brane index $s \in S$ has the form $s = (a_s, v_s, I_s)$, where $a_s \in \triangle$, $v_s = e, m$ and $I_s \in \Omega_{a_s,v_s}$. The sets S_e and S_m define electric and magnetic branes correspondingly. In (2.5) $\chi_s = +1, -1$ for $s \in S_e, S_m$, respectively. All branes contain the time manifold $M_1 = \mathbb{R}$, i.e.

$$1 \in I_s, \qquad \forall s \in S. \tag{2.10}$$

All manifolds M_i, $i > 0$, are assumed to be oriented and connected and the volume d_i-forms

$$\tau_i \equiv \sqrt{|g^i(y_i)|}\, dy_i^1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dy_i^{d_i}, \tag{2.11}$$

and signature parameters

$$\varepsilon(i) \equiv \mathrm{sign}(\det(g^i_{m_i n_i})) = \pm 1 \tag{2.12}$$

are well-defined for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Here $d_i = \dim M_i$, $i = 0, \ldots, n$, $d_0 > 1$, $d_1 = 1$ and for any $I = \{i_1, \ldots, i_k\} \in \Omega$, $i_1 < \ldots < i_k$, we denote

$$\tau(I) \equiv \tau_{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \tau_{i_k}, \qquad d(I) = \sum_{i\in I} d_i, \quad \varepsilon(I) \equiv \varepsilon(i_1) \ldots \varepsilon(i_k). \tag{2.13}$$

Forms $\mathcal{F}^s$ correspond to electric and magnetic branes for $s \in S_e, S_m$, respectively. In (2.8) $\bar{I} = \{0, \ldots, n\} \setminus I$ and $\tau(\bar{I}) = \tau_0 \wedge \tau(\{1, \ldots, n\} \setminus I)$, where τ_0 is the volume form on $M_0 = S^{d_0}$.

The parameters h_s appearing in the solution satisfy the relations: $h_s = (B_{ss})^{-1}$, where

$$B_{ss'} = d(I_s \cap I_{s'}) + \frac{d(I_s)d(I_{s'})}{2 - D} + \chi_s \chi_{s'} \lambda_{a_s \alpha} \lambda_{a_{s'} \beta} h^{\alpha\beta}, \tag{2.14}$$

$s, s' \in S$, with $(h^{\alpha\beta}) = (h_{\alpha\beta})^{-1}$ and $D = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n d_i$. In (2.5) $\lambda^\alpha_{a_s} = h^{\alpha\beta}\lambda_{a_s\beta}$.

The parameters $B_{ss'}$ are scalar products of certain U^s-vectors belonging to $\mathbb{R}^{n+l+1}$: $B_{ss'} = (U^s, U^{s'})$ [2, 11].

Here we assume that

$$\textbf{(i)}\ K_s = B_{ss} \neq 0, \quad \forall s \in S, \tag{2.15}$$

$$\textbf{(ii)}\ \det(B_{ss'}) \neq 0, \tag{2.16}$$

i.e. the matrix $(B_{ss'})$ is a non-degenerate one.

We consider the matrix

$$(A_{ss'}) = (2B_{ss'}/B_{s's'}), \tag{2.17}$$

$s, s' \in S$. Here some ordering in S is assumed.

We denote

$$\varepsilon_s = \varepsilon(I_s)\theta_{a_s} \quad \text{for} \quad v_s = e, \tag{2.18}$$

$$\varepsilon_s = -\varepsilon[g]\varepsilon(I_s)\theta_{a_s}, \quad \text{for} \quad v_s = m, \tag{2.19}$$

$s \in S$, $\varepsilon[g] = \mathrm{sign}\det(g_{MN})$.

Functions $H_s > 0$ obey the equations

$$R^{d_0}\frac{d}{dR}\left[\left(1 - \frac{2\mu}{R^d}\right)\frac{R^{d_0}}{H_s}\frac{dH_s}{dR}\right] = B_s \prod_{s' \in S} H_{s'}^{-A_{ss'}}, \tag{2.20}$$

with $B_s = \varepsilon_s B_{ss} Q_s^2$ and the boundary conditions imposed:

$$H_s|_{R=R_0+0} = H_{s0} \in (0, +\infty), \tag{2.21}$$

and

$$H_s|_{R=+\infty} = 1, \tag{2.22}$$

$s \in S$.

Here we also impose the following condition

$$H_s \text{ is smooth in } (R_\epsilon, +\infty), \tag{2.23}$$

$s \in S$, where $R_\epsilon = (2\mu)^{1/d} e^{-\epsilon}$, $\epsilon > 0$. Then the metric has a regular horizon at $R^d = 2\mu$ and has an asymptotically flat $(2 + d_0)$-dimensional section.

Due to (2.7) and (2.8), the dimension of brane worldvolume is defined by relations $d(I_s) = n_{a_s} - 1$ for $s \in S_e$ and $d(I_s) = D - n_{a_s} - 1$ for S_m. For a p-brane: $p = p_s = d(I_s) - 1$.

Restrictions on brane intersections.

The composite black brane solutions under consideration take place if two restrictions on the sets of branes are obeyed. These restrictions guarantee the block-diagonal form of the energy-momentum tensor.

Restriction 1. *For any colour index $a \in \triangle$ and electromagnetic index $v = e, m$*

$$(\mathbf{R1}) \quad d(I \cap J) \leq d(I) - 2, \tag{2.24}$$

for any $I, J \in \Omega_{a,v}$, $I \neq J$ (here $d(I) = d(J)$).

Restriction 2 *For any colour index $a \in \triangle$, $I \in \Omega_{a,e}$ (electric brane set) and $J \in \Omega_{a,m}$ (magnetic brane set)*

$$(\mathbf{R2}) \quad d(I \cap J) \neq 0. \tag{2.25}$$

The Hawking temperature. The Hawking temperature corresponding to the solution reads

$$T_H = \frac{d}{4\pi(2\mu)^{1/d}} \prod_{s \in S} H_{s0}^{-h_s}, \tag{2.26}$$

where H_{s0} are defined in (2.21).

This solution describes a set of charged (by forms) overlapping branes "living" on submanifolds of $M_1 \times \ldots \times M_n$.

3 Polynomial structure of H_s for semisimple Lie algebras

3.1 Black brane polynomials

Now we deal with solutions to second order non-linear differential equations (2.20) which may be rewritten as follows

$$\frac{d}{dz}\left(\frac{(1-2\mu z)}{H_s}\frac{d}{dz}H_s\right) = \bar{B}_s\prod_{l=1}^{m} H_l^{-A_{sl}}, \tag{3.1}$$

where $H_s(z) > 0$, $z = R^{-d} \in (0, (2\mu)^{-1})$ and $\bar{B}_s = B_s/d^2 \neq 0$.
Eqs. (2.21) and (2.22) read

$$H_s((2\mu)^{-1} - 0) = H_{s0} \in (0, +\infty), \tag{3.2}$$

$$H_s(+0) = 1, \tag{3.3}$$

$s = 1, ..., m$. Here we identify for simplicity S with the set of $m = |S|$ numbers: $S = \{1, ..., m\}$.

The condition (2.23) reads as follows

$$H_s(z) > 0 \text{ is smooth in } (0, z_\epsilon), \tag{3.4}$$

$s = 1, ..., m$, where $z_\epsilon = (2\mu)^{-1}e^{\epsilon d}$, $\epsilon > 0$.

Equations (3.1) are equivalent to Toda-type equations [7, 8].

It was conjectured in [6] that equations (3.1)-(3.3) have polynomial solutions when (A_{sl}) is a Cartan matrix for some semisimple finite-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ of rank m. In this case we get

$$H_s(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n_s} P_s^{(k)} z^k, \tag{3.5}$$

where $P_s^{(k)}$ are constants, $k = 1, \ldots, n_s$; $P_s^{(n_s)} \neq 0$, and

$$n_s = 2\sum_{l=1}^{m} A^{sl} \tag{3.6}$$

$s = 1, ..., m$, are the components of twice the dual Weyl vector in the basis of simple co-roots [31]. Here $(A^{sl}) = (A_{sl})^{-1}$.

This conjecture was verified for $\mathbf{A_m}$ and $\mathbf{C_{m+1}}$ series of Lie algebras in [7, 8]. In the extremal case ($\mu = +0$) an analogue of this conjecture was suggested (implicitly) in [32].

$\mathbf{A_1} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathbf{A_1}$ **-case.** The simplest example occurs in the orthogonal case : $B_{sl} = (U^s, U^l) = 0$, for $s \neq l$ [1, 2] (see also [17, 18, 19] and refs. therein). In this case $(A_{sl}) = \mathrm{diag}(2, \ldots, 2)$ is a Cartan matrix for the semisimple Lie algebra $\mathbf{A_1} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathbf{A_1}$ and

$$H_s(z) = 1 + P_s z, \tag{3.7}$$

with $P_s \neq 0$, satisfying

$$P_s(P_s + 2\mu) = -\bar{B}_s = -\varepsilon_s K_s Q_s^2/d^2, \tag{3.8}$$

$s = 1, ..., m$. When all $\varepsilon_s K_s < 0$ there exists a unique set of numbers $P_s > 0$ obeying (3.8).

A_2-case. For the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ coinciding with $\mathbf{A_2} = sl(3)$ we get $n_1 = n_2 = 2$ and

$$H_s = 1 + P_s z + P_s^{(2)} z^2, \tag{3.9}$$

where $P_s = P_s^{(1)}$ and $P_s^{(2)} \neq 0$ are constants, $s = 1, 2$.

It was found in [6] that for $P_1 + P_2 + 4\mu \neq 0$ (e.g. when all $P_s > 0$) the following relations take place

$$P_s^{(2)} = \frac{P_s P_{s+1}(P_s + 2\mu)}{2(P_1 + P_2 + 4\mu)}, \qquad \bar{B}_s = -\frac{P_s(P_s + 2\mu)(P_s + 4\mu)}{P_1 + P_2 + 4\mu}, \tag{3.10}$$

$s = 1, 2$.

Here we denote $s + 1 = 2, 1$ for $s = 1, 2$, respectively.

Other solutions. The "master" equations were integrated (using Maple) in [9, 10] for Lie algebras $\mathbf{C_2}$ and $\mathbf{A_3}$, respectively. Recently, in [30] the solutions to master equations were found for A_m Lie algebras using the general solutions to Toda chain equations from [25].

Special solutions $H_s(z) = (1 + P_s z)^{b_s}$ appeared earlier in [3] and later in [4, 5] in a context of so-called block-orthogonal configurations.

4 Fluxbrane polynomials

Here we deal with the so-called fluxbrane polynomials which will be used in the next section for solving the black brane master equations (3.1) with the boundary conditions (3.2) and (3.3) imposed.

4.1 The conjecture on fluxbrane polynomials

Now, we deal with the polynomials which obey the following set of equations

$$\frac{d}{dz}\left(\frac{z}{\mathcal{H}_s}\frac{d}{dz}\mathcal{H}_s\right) = \mathcal{P}_s \prod_{l=1}^{m} \mathcal{H}_l^{-A_{sl}}, \tag{4.1}$$

with the boundary conditions imposed

$$\mathcal{H}_s(+0) = 1, \tag{4.2}$$

$s = 1, ..., m$. Here functions $\mathcal{H}_s(z) > 0$ are defined on the interval $(0, +\infty)$ if $\mathcal{P}_s > 0$ for all s and (A_{sl}) is the Cartan matrix for some finite-dimensional semisimple Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ of rank m.

The functions $\mathcal{H}_s(z) > 0$ appeared in generalized fluxbrane solutions which were obtained in [26]. Parameter $\mathcal{P}_s$ is proportional to brane charge density squared q_s^2, $s = 1, ..., m$ and $z = \rho^2$, where ρ is a radial coordinate. The boundary condition (4.2) guarantees the absence of singularity (in the metric) for $\rho = +0$. For fluxbrane solutions in supergravities (or stringy-inspired models) see [26, 33, 34, 35] and references therein.

The fluxbrane solutions from [26] and similar S-brane solutions from [36] are special classes of more general solutions from [20]. The simplest "fluxbrane" solution is a well-known Melvin solution [37] corresponding to the Lie algebra $A_1 = sl(2)$.

It was conjectured in [26] that eqs. (4.1), (4.2) have polynomial solutions

$$\mathcal{H}_s(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n_s} \mathcal{P}_s^{(k)} z^k, \tag{4.3}$$

where $\mathcal{P}_s^{(k)}$ are constants, $k = 1, \ldots, n_s$. Here $\mathcal{P}_s^{(n_s)} \neq 0$ and n_s are defined in (3.6)

It was pointed in [26] that the conjecture on polynomial structure of $\mathcal{H}_s$ may be verified for A_n and C_n Lie algebras along a line as it was done for black brane polynomials in [7, 8].

The substitution of (4.3) into (4.1) gives a chain of relations on parameters $\mathcal{P}_s^{(k)}$ and $\mathcal{P}_s$. For $A - D - E$ (simply laced) Lie algebras these relations were used for calculations of polynomials (by using MATHEMATICA) in [27]. The first relation in this chain is

$$\mathcal{P}_s^{(1)} = \mathcal{P}_s, \tag{4.4}$$

$s = 1, ..., m$.

We note that for a special choice of parameters: $\mathcal{P}_s = n_s P$, $P > 0$, the polynomials have the following simple form [26]

$$\mathcal{H}_s(z) = (1 + Pz)^{n_s}, \tag{4.5}$$

$s = 1, ..., m$. This ansatz is a nice tool for verification of general solutions obtained by either analytical or computer calculations.

In [28, 29] a computational program for calculations of polynomials (using MAPLE) corresponding to classical series of simple Lie algebras was suggested.

The calculations of $\mathcal{P}_s^{(k)}$ (which are polynomials of k-th power in $\mathcal{P}_s$) give huge denominators for big k. This may be avoided by using new parameters p_s instead of $\mathcal{P}_s$

$$p_s = \mathcal{P}_s / n_s, \tag{4.6}$$

$s = 1, ..., m$.

4.2 Examples of polynomials

Here we present certain examples of polynomials corresponding to the Lie algebras A_2, C_2 and G_2.

4.2.1 A_r-polynomials, $r = 1, 2, 3$.

A_1-case. The simplest example occurs in the case of the Lie algebra $A_1 = sl(2)$. Here $n_1 = 1$. We get

$$\mathcal{H}_1(z) = 1 + p_1 z. \tag{4.7}$$

A_2-case. For the Lie algebra $A_2 = sl(3)$ with the Cartan matrix

$$(A_{ss'}) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.8}$$

we have [26] $n_1 = n_2 = 2$ and

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = 1 + 2p_1 z + p_1 p_2 z^2, \tag{4.9}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_2 = 1 + 2p_2 z + p_1 p_2 z^2. \tag{4.10}$$

A_3**-case.** The polynomials for the A_3-case read as follows

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = 1 + 3p_1 z + 3p_1 p_2 z^2 + p_1 p_2 p_3 z^3, \tag{4.11}$$
$$\mathcal{H}_2 = 1 + 4p_2 z + 3(p_1 p_2 + p_2 p_3) z^2 + 4p_1 p_2 p_3 z^3 + p_1 p_2^2 p_3 z^4, \tag{4.12}$$
$$\mathcal{H}_3 = 1 + 3p_3 z + 3p_2 p_3 z^2 + p_1 p_2 p_3 z^3. \tag{4.13}$$

4.2.2 C_2-polynomials.

For the Lie algebra $C_2 = so(5)$ with the Cartan matrix

$$(A_{ss'}) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.14}$$

we get from (3.6) $n_1 = 3$ and $n_2 = 4$.

For fluxbrane polynomials we obtain from [36]

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = 1 + 3p_1 z + 3p_1 p_2 z^2 + p_1^2 p_2 z^3, \tag{4.15}$$
$$\mathcal{H}_2 = 1 + 4p_2 z + 6p_1 p_2 z^2 + 4p_1^2 p_2 z^3 + p_1^2 p_2^2 z^4. \tag{4.16}$$

4.2.3 G_2-polynomials.

For the Lie algebra G_2 with the Cartan matrix

$$(A_{ss'}) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.17}$$

we get from (3.6) $n_1 = 6$ and $n_2 = 10$. The fluxbrane polynomials read [36]

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = 1 + 6p_1 z + 15p_1 p_2 z^2 + 20p_1^2 p_2 z^3 + 15p_1^3 p_2 z^4 + 6p_1^3 p_2^2 z^5 + p_1^4 p_2^2 z^6, \tag{4.18}$$
$$\mathcal{H}_2 = 1 + 10p_2 z + 45p_1 p_2 z^2 + 120p_1^2 p_2 z^3 + p_1^2 p_2 (135p_1 + 75p_2) z^4 + 252 p_1^3 p_2^2 z^5 + p_1^3 p_2^2 \Big(75p_1 + 135p_2\Big) z^6 + 120 p_1^4 p_2^3 z^7 + 45 p_1^5 p_2^3 z^8 + 10 p_1^6 p_2^3 z^9 + p_1^6 p_2^4 z^{10}. \tag{4.19}$$

In all examples presented above the substitution $p_1 = \ldots = p_n = P$ into polynomials gives us relations (4.5).

In what follows we denote

$$\mathcal{H}_s(z) = \mathcal{H}_s(z; p), \tag{4.20}$$

$s = 1, ..., m$, where $p = (p_1, ..., p_m)$.

It should be noted that the set of fluxbrane polynomials for any semisimple Lie algebra $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathcal{G}_k$, where all $\mathcal{G}_i$ are simple Lie algebras, is just a union of sets of fluxbrane polynomials, corresponding to $\mathcal{G}_1$, ... , $\mathcal{G}_k$, respectively.

4.3 Toda chain solutions

Fluxbrane polynomials $\mathcal{H}_s$ define special solutions to open Toda chain equations [21, 22, 24, 23]

$$\frac{d^2q^s}{du^2} = -4n_s p_s \exp(\sum_{l=1}^{m} A_{sl}q^l), \tag{4.21}$$

which correspond to a semisimple finite dimensional Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ with the Cartan matrix (A_{sl}).

These solution read

$$q^s(u) = -\ln \mathcal{H}_s(e^{-2u}; p) - n_s u, \tag{4.22}$$

$s = 1, ..., m$ (i.e. we put here $z = e^{-2u}$).

Relations (4.22) imply the following asymptotic formulae

$$q^s(u) = -\ln C_s + n_s u + o(1), \qquad \text{for } u \to -\infty, \tag{4.23}$$

$$q^s(u) = -n_s p_s e^{-2u}(1 + o(1)) - n_s u, \qquad \text{for } u \to +\infty, \tag{4.24}$$

$s = 1, ..., m$. Here the scattering data $C_s > 0$ appear in the leading terms of polynomials

$$\mathcal{H}_s = 1 + n_s p_s z + \ldots + C_s z^{n_s}, \tag{4.25}$$

$s = 1, ..., m$. The calculations (see examples above) give the following relations

$$C_s = \prod_{i=1}^{m} p_i^{\nu(s,i)}, \tag{4.26}$$

where $\nu(s, i)$ are non-negative integer numbers which obey the relations $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \nu(s, i) = n_s$, $s = 1, ..., m$. (They are natural ones for simple finite-dimensional Lie algebras.)

It follows from (4.23) and (4.24) that

$$v^s(\pm\infty) = \mp n_s, \qquad \text{for } u \to \pm\infty, \tag{4.27}$$

$s = 1, ..., m$, i.e. the asymptotical values of velocities for "in" and "out" asymptotics are opposite in sign and coinciding by absolute value with the vector $(n_1, \ldots, n_m)$. This is the main specific feature of the special solutions (4.22) to open Toda chain equations which are described by fluxbrane polynomials.

5 Black brane solutions governed by fluxbrane polynomials

In this section we show that the "black brane" master equations (3.1)-(3.3) may be solved (at least for small enough values of charge parameters Q_s) in terms of fluxbrane polynomials and give several examples of solutions.

5.1 Reduction to fluxbrane polynomials

Let us denote $f = f(z) = 1 - 2\mu z$. Then the relations (3.1) may be rewritten as

$$\frac{d}{df}\left(\frac{f}{H_s}\frac{d}{df}H_s\right) = B_s(2\mu d)^{-2}\prod_{l=1}^{m} H_l^{-A_{sl}}. \tag{5.1}$$

$s = 1, ..., m$. These relations could be solved by using fluxbrane polynomials $\mathcal{H}_s(f) = \mathcal{H}_s(f; p)$, corresponding to $m \times m$ Cartan matrix (A_{sl}), where $p = (p_1, ..., p_m)$ is the set of reduced parameters (4.6). Here we impose the restrictions $p_i \neq 0$ for all i instead of $p_i > 0$ from the previous section.

We put

$$H_s(z) = \mathcal{H}_s(f(z); p)/\mathcal{H}_s(1; p) \tag{5.2}$$

for all $s = 1, ..., m$. [2]

Then the relations (5.1), or, equivalently, (3.1) are satisfied identically if

$$n_s p_s \prod_{l=1}^{m} (\mathcal{H}_l(1; p))^{-A_{sl}} = B_s/(2\mu d)^2 = \varepsilon_s K_s Q_s^2/(2\mu d)^2, \tag{5.3}$$

$s = 1, ..., m$.

We call the set of parameters $p = (p_1, ..., p_m)$ $(p_i \neq 0)$ as proper one if

$$\mathcal{H}_s(f; p) > 0 \tag{5.4}$$

for all $f \in [0, 1]$ and $s = 1, ..., m$.

We denote the set of all proper p by $\mathcal{D}$. In what follows we consider only proper p.

Relations (5.3) imply

$$\mathrm{sign} p_s = \mathrm{sign} B_s = \varepsilon_s, \tag{5.5}$$

$s = 1, ..., m$.

The boundary conditions (3.2) are valid since

$$H_s((2\mu)^{-1} - 0) = 1/\mathcal{H}_s(1; p) > 0, \tag{5.6}$$

$s = 1, ..., m$, and conditions (3.3) are satisfied just due to definition (5.2) ($p \in \mathcal{D}$).

Relations (5.6) imply the following formula for the Hawking temperature (2.26)

$$T_H = \frac{d}{4\pi(2\mu)^{1/d}} \prod_{s=1}^{m} (\mathcal{H}_s(1; p))^{h_s}, \tag{5.7}$$

It should be noted that usual black brane solutions deal with negative B_s (since $\varepsilon_s < 0$ and $B_{ss} > 0$) and hence p_s should be negative. Fluxbrane polynomials with negative p_s were considered earlier in cosmological (S-brane) solutions which describe an accelerated expansion of 3-dimensional factor-space [38, 39]. The original fluxbrane polynomials [26] responsible for fluxbrane solutions have positive parameters p_s. For black brane applications positive p_s correspond to positive B_s. This takes place for a certain family of phantom black brane solutions which may be a subject of a separate publication.

[2] This trick was known to the author for many years as well as the schemes of calculations of $\mathcal{H}_s$ [27].

Remark. For all examples of fluxbrane polynomials we know, all $p = (p_i)$ with positive entries $p_i > 0$ are proper since in this case $\mathcal{H}_s(f; p) > 0$ for all s and $f \geq 0$.

For fixed signs in (5.5) we denote

$$\mathcal{D}_\epsilon = \{p | p = (p_1, ..., p_m) \in \mathcal{D}, \mathrm{sign} p_i = \epsilon_i, i = 1, ..., m\}. \tag{5.8}$$

Here $\epsilon = (\epsilon_i)$.

Then relations (5.3) define the map

$$f_\epsilon : \mathcal{D}_\epsilon \to \mathbb{R}^m_+, \tag{5.9}$$

with $f_\epsilon(p) = (Q_1^2, \ldots, Q_m^2)$. Locally, for small enough p_i the function f_ϵ defines one-to-one correspondence between the sets of parameters $(p_1, ..., p_m)$ and $(Q_1^2, \ldots, Q_m^2)$. An open question here is to verify whether this is correct globally for certain choices of $\epsilon = (\epsilon_i)$ and semisimple Lie algebras $\mathcal{G}$ (i.e. whether the function f_ϵ is bijective or not for certain cases).

5.2 Examples

Now we illustrate the general approach by considering several examples of charged black hole (0-brane) solutions corresponding to Lie algebras of rank $m = 1, 2$.

5.2.1 Black hole for A_1.

First we consider the gravitational model with one scalar field and one 2-form:

$$S = \int d^D x \sqrt{|g|} \Big\{ R[g] - g^{MN} \partial_M \varphi \partial_N \varphi - \frac{1}{2} \exp(2\lambda\varphi) F^2 \Big\}. \tag{5.10}$$

Here g is a D-dimensional metric, $F = dA$ is 2-form; φ is scalar field and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is dilatonic coupling. We deal with a charged black hole solution defined on the manifold

$$M = (0, +\infty) \times M_0 \times M_1 \times M_2, \tag{5.11}$$

where $M_0 = S^{d_0}$, $M_1 = \mathbb{R}$ is a one-dimensional (time) manifold of signature $(-)$ and M_2 is d_2-dimensional Ricci-flat manifold.

We put the brane multi-index corresponding to 1-forms A as $I_1 = \{1\}$. We get from (2.15)

$$K_1 = \frac{D-3}{D-2} + \lambda^2 > 0. \tag{5.12}$$

In this case relation (2.17) is valid for the Cartan matrix $A = (2)$, corresponding to the Lie algebra A_1.

The charged black hole solution has the following form (see (2.4)-(2.7))

$$g = H^{2h/(D-2)} \Big\{ f^{-1} dR \otimes dR + R^2 g^0 - H^{-2h} f dt \otimes dt + g^2 \Big\}, \tag{5.13}$$

$$\exp(\varphi) = H^{h\lambda} \tag{5.14}$$

$$F = \frac{Q}{R^{d_0}} H^{-2} dt \wedge dR. \tag{5.15}$$

Here $f = 1 - 2\mu/R^d$, $\mu > 0$, $d = d_0 - 1$, $h = K_1^{-1} > 0$, $Q = Q_1 \neq 0$ is (electric) charge, $R > R_0 = (2\mu)^{1/d}$, and g^2 is a Ricci-flat metric on M_2.

The moduli function H is given by (4.7) and general prescriptions (5.2) and (5.3):

$$H(z) = \frac{1 + p_1 f(z)}{1 + p_1}, \tag{5.16}$$

where $f(z) = 1 - 2\mu z$, and the parameter p_1 is negative due to relation

$$p_1/(1 + p_1)^2 = -K_1 Q^2/(2\mu d)^2, \tag{5.17}$$

following from (5.3).

The parameter p_1 should be proper, i.e. $\mathcal{H}_1(f; p_1) = 1 + p_1 f > 0$ for all $f \in [0, 1]$, hence we get $-1 < p_1 < 0$. Function (5.9) in this case is given by (5.17) $f_\epsilon : \mathcal{D}_\epsilon = (-1, 0) \to \mathbb{R}_+$, $\epsilon = (-1)$. It is a bijection (moreover, f_ϵ is a diffeomorphism).

Relation (5.16) may be rewritten as follows

$$H(z) = 1 + Pz, \qquad P = \frac{2\mu(-p_1)}{1 + p_1} > 0. \tag{5.18}$$

It follows from (5.17) and (5.18) that

$$P(P + 2\mu) = K_1 Q^2/d^2 = \frac{Q^2 A(\lambda)}{(D-2)d^2}, \qquad A(\lambda) = D - 3 + \lambda^2(D-2), \tag{5.19}$$

in agreement with (3.8) and hence ($P > 0$)

$$P = -\mu + \sqrt{\mu^2 + Q^2 A(\lambda)(D-2)^{-1} d^{-2}}. \tag{5.20}$$

Thus, relations (5.13)-(5.15) with the moduli function

$$H = 1 + PR^{-d} \tag{5.21}$$

and $P > 0$ from (5.20) give us a charged dilatonic black hole solution which coincides up to notations with the solutions from [40, 41]. In [41] another radial variable $r = (R^d + P)^{1/d}$ and parameters $B_- = P$ and $B_+ = P + 2\mu$ were used. For special cases of this solution see [42] ($d_0 = 2$), [43] ($\lambda = 0$, φ is absent).

5.2.2 Black holes for A_2, C_2 and G_2.

Let us consider the gravitational model with two scalar fields and two forms of rank 2:

$$S = \int d^D x \sqrt{|g|} \Big\{ R[g] - g^{MN} \partial_M \vec{\varphi} \partial_N \vec{\varphi} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^{2} \exp[2\vec{\lambda_s}\vec{\varphi}](F^s)^2 \Big\}. \tag{5.22}$$

Here g is a D-dimensional metric, $F^1 = dA^1$ and $F^2 = dA^2$ are 2-forms; $\vec{\varphi} = (\varphi^1, \varphi^2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is a vector of two scalar fields, $\vec{\lambda_1} = (\lambda_{1\alpha}), \vec{\lambda_2} = (\lambda_{2\alpha}) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ are dilatonic coupling vectors.

In what follows we consider electrically charged black hole solutions defined on the manifold (5.11). We put the brane multi-indices corresponding to 1-forms A^1 and A^2 as $I_1 = I_2 = \{1\}$, respectively.

We get from (2.14)

$$B_{ss'} = \frac{D-3}{D-2} + \vec{\lambda_s}\vec{\lambda_{s'}}, \tag{5.23}$$

$s, s' = 1, 2$, and

$$K_s = B_{ss} = \frac{D-3}{D-2} + \vec{\lambda_s}^2 > 0, \tag{5.24}$$

$s = 1, 2$.

We impose intersection rules (2.17) corresponding to the Lie algebras A_2, C_2, G_2 with the Cartan matrices

$$(A_{ss'}) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -k & 2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.25}$$

for $k = 1, 2, 3$, respectively. For our case these rules are equivalent to the set of two relations: (i) $2B_{12} = A_{12}K_2 = -K_2$, (ii) $2B_{21} = A_{21}K_1 = -kK_1$, which imply (due to $B_{12} = B_{21}$) $K_2 = kK_1$, or

$$\vec{\lambda_2}^2 = k\vec{\lambda_1}^2 + (k-1)\frac{D-3}{D-2}, \tag{5.26}$$

while relation (ii) reads

$$2\vec{\lambda_1}\vec{\lambda_2} = -k\vec{\lambda_1}^2 - (k+2)\frac{D-3}{D-2}, \tag{5.27}$$

$k = 1, 2, 3$.

The set of relations (5.26), (5.27) is equivalent to intersection rules (2.17).

Remark. *It may be readily verified that the vectors $\vec{\lambda_1}, \vec{\lambda_2} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ obeying (5.26), (5.27) do exist. This may be done by fixing $\vec{\lambda_1}^2 = N > 0$ and writing the Gramian matrix $(\vec{\lambda_i}\vec{\lambda_j})$ by using (5.26) and (5.27) in terms of N, $\frac{D-3}{D-2}$ and k. For big enough value of N ($N > N_0$) the Gramian matrix is positive definite and hence there exist dilatonic coupling vectors which obey (5.26) and (5.27). One may choose: $\vec{\lambda_i} = \sqrt{N}(\vec{u_i} + o(1))$, for $N \to +\infty$, where $\vec{u_i}$, $i = 1, 2$, are simple roots of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G} = A_2, C_2, G_2$ for $k = 1, 2, 3$, respectively.*

The charged (by forms F^1 and F^2) electric black hole solutions have the following form (see (2.4)-(2.7))

$$g = \Big(H_1^{2h_1} H_2^{2h_2}\Big)^{1/(D-2)} \Big\{ f^{-1} dR \otimes dR + R^2 g^0 \tag{5.28}$$
$$- H_1^{-2h_1} H_2^{-2h_2} f dt \otimes dt + g^2 \Big\},$$

$$\exp(\varphi^\alpha) = H_1^{h_1 \lambda_{1\alpha}} H_2^{h_2 \lambda_{2\alpha}}, \tag{5.29}$$

$$F^1 = \frac{Q_1}{R^{d_0}} H_1^{-2} H_2 dt \wedge dR \tag{5.30}$$

$$F^2 = \frac{Q_2}{R^{d_0}} H_2^{-2} H_1^k dt \wedge dR \tag{5.31}$$

where $\alpha = 1, 2$ and $k = 1, 2, 3$ for Lie algebras A_2, C_2, G_2, respectively.

Here $f = 1 - 2\mu/R^d$, $\mu > 0$, $d = d_0 - 1$, $h_s = K_s^{-1} > 0$, $Q_s \neq 0$ ($s = 1, 2$) are charges, $R > R_0 = (2\mu)^{1/d}$, and g^2 is a Ricci-flat metric on M_2.

The moduli functions H_s are given by general prescriptions (5.2) and (5.3):

$$H_s(z) = \gamma_s^{-1} \mathcal{H}_s(f(z); p), \qquad \gamma_s = \mathcal{H}_s(1; p), \tag{5.32}$$

$s = 1, 2$, where $f(z) = 1 - 2\mu z$ and the vector $p = (p_1, p_2)$ has negative components: $p_1 < 0$ and $p_2 < 0$, which are related to charges Q_1, Q_2 by formulae

$$n_1 p_1 \gamma_1^{-2} \gamma_2 = -K_1 Q_1^2/(2\mu d)^2, \tag{5.33}$$
$$n_2 p_2 \gamma_2^{-2} \gamma_1^k = -K_2 Q_2^2/(2\mu d)^2, \tag{5.34}$$

$k = 1, 2, 3$.

The fluxbrane polynomials $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$ are given by relations: (i) (4.9), (4.10) for $k = 1$; (ii) (4.15), (4.16) for $k = 2$; and (iii) (4.18), (4.19) for $k = 3$. The powers of polynomials read: $(n_1, n_2) = (2, 2), (3, 4), (6, 10)$ for $k = 1, 2, 3$, respectively.

For the Hawking temperatures of black holes ($k = 1, 2, 3$) we get from (5.7)

$$T_H = \frac{d}{4\pi (2\mu)^{1/d}} \prod_{s=1}^{2} \gamma_s^{h_s}. \tag{5.35}$$

We remind that here as in general case the set of parameters $p = (p_1, p_2)$ should be proper.

The A_2-solution without "internal space" (M_2, g^2) and with one scalar field was obtained recently in [30]. In [30] A_n black hole solutions with n vectors fields and $(n-1)$ scalar fields were found.

6 Conclusions and discussions

Here we have described a family of composite black brane solutions corresponding to semisimple Lie algebras in the models with scalar field and fields of forms. Intersection rules for branes are given by Cartan matrices for these Lie algebras. The metric of any solution contains $(n-1)$ Ricci-flat "internal" metrics and certain restrictions on brane intersections are imposed.

The moduli functions of solutions are given by fluxbrane polynomials which define special solutions to open Toda chain equations corresponding to semisimple Lie algebras. These polynomials may be calculated (in principle) for any simple or semisimple Lie algebra, e.g. by using MAPLE or MATHEMATICA. One can use also formal relations for A_m- polynomials which were obtained recently in [30]. C_m-polynomials may be obtained from A_{2m+1}-polynomials by using the identifications of parameters: $p_1 = p_{2m+1}$, $p_2 = p_{2m}$ etc [8, 26].

Here we have applied our formalism to few examples of dilatonic charged black hole (0-brane) solutions related to Lie algebras A_1, A_2, C_2 and G_2. To our knowledge the last solution is a new one while others are covered by earlier publications, e.g. [40, 41] (A_1), [8] (A_2) and [9] (C_2). (In the C_2-case the parametrization of polynomials in [9] differs from the one considered in this paper).

We have also obtained formulae for Hawking temperatures corresponding to black brane solutions (under consideration) in terms of polynomial parameters. The calculation of other thermodynamic quantities and studying the global structures of certain black brane/hole solutions will be a subject of a separate publication.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by Templan grant of PFUR (No 200312-1-174) in 2014.

References

[1] K.A. Bronnikov, V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, *Grav. Cosmol.* **3**, No 3, 203-212 (1997); gr-qc/9710054.

[2] V.D Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, *J. Math. Phys.* **39**, 2866-2889 (1998); hep-th/9708157.

[3] K.A. Bronnikov, *Grav. Cosmol.* **4**, No 1, 49-56 (1998); hep-th/9710207.

[4] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, In Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 537,"Mathematical and Quantum Aspects of Relativity and Cosmology". Proceedings of the Second Samos Meeting on Cosmology, Geometry and Relativity held at Pythagoreon, Samos, Greece, 1998, eds: S. Cotsakis, G.W. Gibbons., Berlin, Springer, pp. 214-247, 2000; gr-qc/9901001.

[5] S. Cotsakis, V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, *Grav. Cosmol.* **5**, No 1, 52-57 (1999); gr-qc/9902148.

[6] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, *Grav. Cosmol.* **5**, No 4, 313-318 (1999); gr-qc/0002085.

[7] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, *Grav. Cosmol.* **6**, No 1, 27-40 (2000); hep-th/9910041.

[8] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **17**, 2073-2092 (2000); math-ph/0002048.

[9] M.A. Grebeniuk, V.D. Ivashchuk and S.-W. Kim, *J. Math. Phys.* **43**, 6016-6023 (2002); hep-th/0111219.

[10] M.A. Grebeniuk, V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov *Phys. Lett.* **B 543**, 98-106 (2002); hep-th/0208083.

[11] V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **18**, R87-R152 (2001); hep-th/0110274.

[12] V.D. Ivashchuk, V.N. Melnikov and A.B. Selivanov, *Grav. Cosmol.* **7**, No 4, 308-310 (2001); gr-qc/0205103.

[13] V.D. Ivashchuk, V.N. Melnikov and A.B. Selivanov, *Grav. Cosmol.* **9**, No 1-2, 50-54 (2003); hep-th/0211247.

[14] H. Dehnen, V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, *Grav. Cosmol.* **9**, No 3, 153-158 (2003); gr-qc/0211049.

[15] H. Dehnen and V.D. Ivashchuk, *J. Math. Phys.* **45**, 4726-4736 (2004); gr-qc/0310043.

[16] V.D. Ivashchuk, *Phys. Lett.*, **B 693**, No 3,4, 399-403 (2010); arXiv: 1001.4053.

[17] M. Cvetic and A. Tseytlin, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 478**, 181-198 (1996); hep-th/9606033.

[18] I.Ya. Aref'eva, M.G. Ivanov and I.V. Volovich, *Phys. Lett.* **B 406**, 44-48 (1997); hep-th/9702079.

[19] N. Ohta, *Phys. Lett.* **B 403**, 218-224 (1997); hep-th/9702164.

[20] V.D. Ivashchuk and S.-W. Kim, *J. Math. Phys.*, **41** (1) 444-460 (2000); hep-th/9907019.

[21] M. Toda, Theory of Nonlinear Lattices, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1981.

[22] O.I. Bogoyavlensky , *Commun. Math. Phys.* **51**, 201 (1976).

[23] M.A. Olshanetsky and A.M. Perelomov, *Invent. Math.*, **54**, 261 (1979).

[24] B. Kostant , *Adv. in Math.* **34**, 195 (1979).

[25] A. Anderson, *J. Math. Phys.* **37**, 1349 (1996); hep-th/9507092.

[26] V.D. Ivashchuk, *Class. Quantum Grav.*, **19**, 3033-3048 (2002); hep-th/0202022.

[27] V.D. Ivashchuk, On calculations of fluxbrane polynomials for Lie algebras A_n, D_n, E_m, DFG project materials, Kontantz University, 2002 (unpublished).

[28] A. A. Golubtsova and V. D. Ivashchuk, On calculation of fluxbrane polynomials corresponding to classical series of Lie algebras, arxiv: 0804.0757.

[29] A. A. Golubtsova and V. D. Ivashchuk, *Grav. Cosmol.*, **15**, No. 2, 144-147 (2009); arXiv: 1009.3667.

[30] H. Lü and W. Yang, SL(n,R)-Toda Black Holes, arxiv: 1307.2305.

[31] J. Fuchs and C. Schweigert, Symmetries, Lie algebras and Representations. A graduate course for physicists, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.

[32] H. Lü, J. Maharana, S. Mukherji and C.N. Pope, *Phys. Rev.* **D 57** 2219-2229 (1997); hep-th/9707182.

[33] C.-M. Chen, D.V. Gal'tsov and S.A. Sharakin, *Grav. Cosmol.* **5** , No 1, 45-48 (1999); hep-th/9908132.

[34] M. Gutperle and A. Strominger, *JHEP* **0106**, 035 (2001); hep-th/0104136.

[35] C.M. Chen, D.V. Gal'tsov and P.M. Saffin, *Phys. Rev.* **D 65**, 084004 (2002); hep-th/0110164.

[36] I.S. Goncharenko, V.D. Ivashchuk and V.N. Melnikov, *Grav. Cosmol.* **13**, No. 4, 262-266 (2007); math-ph/0612079.

[37] M.A. Melvin, *Phys. Lett.* **8**, 65 (1964).

[38] V.D. Ivashchuk, S.A. Kononogov and V.N. Melnikov, *Grav. Cosmol.* **14**, No. 3, 235-240 (2008); arXiv:0901.0025.

[39] A.A. Golubtsova, *Grav. Cosmol.* **16**, No. 4, 298-306 (2010).

[40] U. Bleyer, K.A. Bronnikov, S.B.Fadeev and V.N. Melnikov, On Black Hole Stability in Multidimensional Gravity; Potsdam University, preprint AIP 94-01, gr-qc/9405021.

[41] U. Bleyer and V.D. Ivashchuk, *Phys. Lett.*, **B 332**, 292-296 (1994); gr-qc/9405018.

[42] G. Gibbons and K. Maeda, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 298**, 741-775 (1988).

[43] R.C. Myers and M.J. Perry, *Ann. of Phys.*, **172**, 304 (1986).